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## 1. INTRODUCTION

This study has been prepared in order to identify the traffic impacts of a private school that is proposed to be constructed on the north side of Clovercroft Road, between Market Street and Wilson Pike, in Franklin, Tennessee.

For the purposes of this study, existing and background traffic volumes were established, and trip generation calculations were conducted. The trips which are expected to be generated by the proposed project were distributed to the roadway system. The intersections which provide access to the site were then re-evaluated to determine the traffic impacts of the proposed project. Access and circulation needs for the project were evaluated, and the necessary roadway and/or traffic control improvements were identified. This report presents the results of these analyses and the subsequent recommendations.

## 2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The location of the proposed project is shown in Figure 1. As shown, the project site is located on the north side of Clovercroft Road, between Market Street and Wilson Pike, in Franklin, Tennessee. Specifically, Parish Presbyterian Church plans to construct a K-12 school adjacent to the church's existing worship facility. The school campus will include a classroom building with approximately 22,000 sq.ft. of space and a multipurpose building with approximately 8,200 sq.ft. of space. This facility will accommodate a total population of 175 students, 32 staff members, and up to eight (8) volunteers.

Access to the project site will be provided at one location on Clovercroft Road. Specifically, the church's existing driveway will be reconstructed east of its current location so as to maximize sight distance at this location. The current project site plan is shown in Figure 2.

In large part, economic and market considerations will dictate the pace and timing with which the proposed project is actually completed. For the purposes of this study, it was assumed that the proposed project will be completed in four years.
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Figure 1.
Location of the Project Site


## 3. EXISTING CONDITIONS

### 3.1 REGIONAL AND LOCAL ACCESS

Clovercroft Road provides regional and local access to the project site. In the vicinity of the project site, this facility is a two-lane arterial roadway that travels in an east-west direction between Highway 96 (Murfreesboro Road) and Wilson Pike. In the immediate vicinity of the project site, Clovercroft Road has a posted speed limit of 40 mph . It is important to note that, in some places, the horizontal and vertical curvature of Clovercroft Road limits the available sight distance for motorists exiting properties on the either side of Clovercroft Road. The existing laneage at the intersections within the study area is shown in Figure 3.
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Figure 3.
Existing Laneage within the Study Area

### 3.2 EXISTING PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES

In order to provide data for the traffic impact analysis, peak hour traffic volumes were counted at the following intersections:

- Clovercroft Road and Market Street
- Wilson Pike and Clovercroft Road

This data was collected from 6:00-9:00 AM and 4:00-7:00 PM on a typical weekday in July 2018. The raw traffic volumes are included in Appendix A and shown in Figure 4A. Because these traffic counts were collected when Williamson County schools were not in session, consideration was given to peak hour counts that were collected at the intersection of Wilson Pike and N. Chapel Road, south of Clovercroft Road, in April 2018. Based on these other traffic counts, which are included in Appendix A, the AM peak hour traffic volumes that were collected in July 2018 were increased by $25 \%$, and the PM peak hour traffic volumes that were collected in July 2018 were increased by 8\% in order to represent typical conditions when schools are in session. The adjusted existing peak hour traffic volumes are shown in Figure 4B.

Using the adjusted existing peak hour traffic volumes shown in Figure 4B, capacity analyses were conducted for the intersections studied. Specifically, in order to identify current peak hour levels of operation within the study area, the capacity calculations were performed according to the methods outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual 2010 (HCM2010). These analyses result in the determination of a Level of Service (LOS), which is a measure of evaluation is used to describe how well an intersection or roadway operates. LOS A represents free flow traffic operations, and LOS F suggests that the traffic demand exceeds the available capacity. In an urbanized area, LOS D is typically considered to be the minimum acceptable LOS. Table 1 presents the descriptions of LOS for unsignalized intersections.

The results of the capacity analyses for the existing peak hour traffic volumes are shown in Table 2, and Appendix B includes the capacity analyses worksheets. The analyses indicate that all of the critical turning movements at the unsignalized intersections within the study area operate at LOS D or better during both peak hours.
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Figure 4B.
Revised Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes (July 2018 Volumes Adjusted to Reflect School Day Conditions)

TABLE 1. DESCRIPTIONS OF LOS FOR UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| Level of <br> Service | Description | Average Control Delay <br> (sec/veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Minimal delay | $\leq 10$ |
| B | Brief delay | $>10$ and $\leq 15$ |
| C | Average delay | $>15$ and $\leq 25$ |
| D | Significant delay | $>25$ and $\leq 35$ |
| E | Long delay | $>35$ and $\leq 50$ |
| F | Extreme delay | $>50$ |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual 2010 (HCM 2010)

TABLE 2. EXISTING PEAK HOUR LEVELS OF SERVICE

| INTERSECTION | TURNING MOVEMENT | AM PEAK HOUR |  | PM PEAK HOUR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LEVEL OF SERVICE | 95TH \%-ILE <br> QUEUE | LEVEL OF SERVICE | $\begin{gathered} \text { 95 }{ }^{\text {TH }} \text { \%-ILE } \\ \text { QUEUE } \end{gathered}$ |
| Clovercroft Road and Market Street | Eastbound <br> Left Turns | LOS A | 1 veh (9 sec/veh) | LOS A | 0 veh (8 sec/veh) |
|  | Westbound Left Turns | LOS A | $\begin{gathered} 0 \mathrm{veh} \\ (8 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}) \end{gathered}$ | LOS A | $\begin{gathered} 0 \mathrm{veh} \\ (8 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Northbound Left Turns | LOS C | 1 veh (18 sec/veh) | LOS B | 1 veh (15 sec/veh) |
|  | Northbound Thrus / Right Turns | LOS C | 1 veh (15 sec/veh) | LOS B | 1 veh (13 sec/veh) |
|  | Southbound Turning Movements | LOS C | 1 veh (16 sec/veh) | LOS B | 1 veh <br> (14 sec/veh) |
| Wilson Pike and Clovercroft Road | Eastbound <br> Left / Right Turns | LOS D | $\begin{gathered} 4 \text { veh } \\ (29 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LOS C | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { veh } \\ (23 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Northbound <br> Left Turns / Thrus | LOS A | 1 veh (8 sec/veh) | LOS A | 1 veh (8 sec/veh) |

## 4. BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES

In order to account for the traffic growth which will occur within the study area because of growth unrelated to the proposed project, background traffic volumes were established for the intersections within the study area. Specifically, in order to account for typical growth within the study area, consideration was given to the historical traffic volumes near the project site. The Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) conducts an annual count program throughout the state. This count program includes the annual collection of average daily traffic (ADT) counts at numerous fixed locations. As shown in Table 3, the daily traffic volumes within the study area have increased steadily since 2002. For the purposes of this study, the adjusted existing traffic volumes within the study area were increased by $20 \%$ to represent initial Year 2022 background traffic volumes, as shown in Figure 5A.

TABLE 3. HISTORICAL TRAFFIC VOLUMES IN THE STUDY AREA

| Year | Station 41 Clovercroft ADT | Annual | Overall Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 | 2,210 |  |  |
| 2004 | 2,259 | 2.22\% |  |
| 2005 | 2,327 | 3.01\% |  |
| 2006 | 2,594 | 11.47\% |  |
| 2007 | 2,570 | -0.93\% |  |
| 2008 | 2,862 | 11.36\% |  |
| 2009 | 2,554 | -10.76\% |  |
| 2010 | 2,891 | 13.19\% |  |
| 2011 | 3,092 | 6.95\% |  |
| 2012 | 3,155 | 2.04\% |  |
| 2013 | 3,151 | -0.13\% |  |
| 2014 | 3,345 | 6.16\% |  |
| 2015 | 3,529 | 5.50\% |  |
| 2016 | 3,551 | 0.62\% |  |
| 2017 | 3,939 | 10.93\% |  |
| 2018 | 3,630 | -7.84\% | 4.28\% |


| Year | Station 49 <br> Wilson Pike ADT | Annual | Overall Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 1,996 |  |  |
| 2003 | 2,018 | 1.10\% |  |
| 2004 | 2,306 | 14.27\% |  |
| 2005 | 2,524 | 9.45\% |  |
| 2006 | 2,021 | -19.93\% |  |
| 2007 | 2,236 | 10.64\% |  |
| 2008 | 2,306 | 3.13\% |  |
| 2009 | 2,255 | -2.21\% |  |
| 2010 | 2,442 | 8.29\% |  |
| 2011 | 2,167 | -11.26\% |  |
| 2012 | 1,987 | -8.31\% |  |
| 2013 | 2,434 | 22.50\% |  |
| 2014 | 2,110 | -13.31\% |  |
| 2015 | 2,398 | 13.65\% |  |
| 2016 | 2,305 | -3.88\% |  |
| 2017 | 3,775 | 63.77\% | 5.94\% |
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Figure 5A.
Initial Year 2022 Background Peak Hour Traffic Volumes (Existing Volumes Increased 20\%)

In addition, it is important to note that the Amelia Park and Ingraham Property residential projects have been planned for construction on the south side of Clovercroft Road, west of the Parish Presbyterian Church site. In March 2012, Fischbach Transportation Group (FTG, LLC) prepared a Traffic Impact Study for Amelia Park, and in June 2013, Fischbach Transportation Group (FTG, LLC) prepared a Traffic Impact Study for Ingraham Property. The peak hour traffic volumes expected to be generated by those projects are shown in Figures 5B and 5C.

The traffic volumes in Figures 5B and 5C were added to the initial background traffic volumes in Figure 5A, and the resulting volumes were adjusted to show balanced traffic flows between intersections. The final Year 2022 background peak hour traffic volumes are shown in Figure 5D. Using the background peak hour traffic volumes shown in Figure 5D, capacity analyses were conducted for the intersections within the study area. For the purposes of these analyses, it was assumed that a westbound left turn lane will be provided on Clovercroft Road at Amelia Park Drive. Also, it was assumed that all other existing laneage and traffic control will be maintained and no other improvements will be made.

The results of the capacity analyses for the total projected peak hour traffic volumes are shown in Table 4, and Appendix B includes the capacity analyses worksheets. The analyses indicate that most of the critical turning movements at the unsignalized intersections within the study area operate at LOS D or better during both peak hours. However, the eastbound left and right turns at the intersection of Wilson Pike and Clovercroft Road are expected to operate at LOS F during the AM peak hour. Based on these results, additional analyses were conducted in order to identify how well these turning movements would operate if the following dedicated turn lanes were provided at this location:

- Separate eastbound left and right turn lanes.
- A separate northbound left turn lane.
- A separate southbound right turn lane.

The additional analyses indicate that the average vehicle queues and average vehicle delays would be reduced if these turn lanes were provided.
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Figure 5B.
Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Expected to be Generated by Amelia Park at Full Build-Out (Based on March 2012 TIS)
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Figure 5C.
Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Expected to be Generated by Taproot Farm at Full Build-Out (Based on June 2013 TIS)
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Figure 5D.
Final Year 2022 Background Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

TABLE 4. BACKGROUND PEAK HOUR LEVELS OF SERVICE

| INTERSECTION | TURNING MOVEMENT | AM PEAK HOUR |  | PM PEAK HOUR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LEVEL OF SERVICE | $95^{\text {TH }} \%-\text { ILE }$ <br> QUEUE | LEVEL OF SERVICE | $95^{\text {TH }} \%-\text { ILE }$ <br> QUEUE |
| Clovercroft Road and Market Street | Eastbound <br> Left Turns | LOS A | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { veh } \\ (9 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LOS A | $\begin{gathered} 0 \text { veh } \\ (8 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Westbound Left Turns | LOS A | 0 veh ( $8 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) | LOS A | $\begin{gathered} 0 \text { veh } \\ (9 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Northbound Left Turns | LOS D | 2 veh (31 sec/veh) | LOS D | 1 veh ( $26 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) |
|  | Northbound Thrus / Right Turns | LOS C | 1 veh ( $20 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) | LOS C | 1 veh (17 sec/veh) |
|  | Southbound Turning Movements | LOS D | 3 veh ( $35 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) | LOS C | 2 veh ( $24 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) |
| Wilson Pike and Clovercroft Road (existing laneage) | Eastbound <br> Left / Right Turns | LOS F | $\begin{gathered} 10 \text { veh } \\ (95 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}) \end{gathered}$ | LOS F | 14 veh ( $56 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) |
|  | Northbound <br> Left Turns / Thrus | LOS A | 1 veh ( $9 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) | LOS A | 1 veh (8 sec/veh) |
| Wilson Pike and Clovercroft Road (with dedicated turn lanes) | Eastbound <br> Left Turns | LOS F | 6 veh ( $50 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) | LOS C | 4 veh ( $21 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) |
|  | Eastbound Right Turns | LOS A | 1 veh ( $9 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) | LOS B | 1 veh (10 sec/veh) |
|  | Northbound Left Turns | LOS A | 1 veh ( $9 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) | LOS A | 1 veh (8 sec/veh) |
| Clovercroft Road and Amelia Park Drive | Westbound <br> Left Turns | LOS A | 0 veh ( $8 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) | LOS A | 1 veh (9 sec/veh) |
|  | Northbound Left Turns | LOS C | 1 veh (18 sec/veh) | LOS C | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { veh } \\ (16 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Northbound Right Turns | LOS A | 1 veh (10 sec/veh) | LOS B | 1 veh (12 sec/veh) |

## 5. IMPACTS OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

### 5.1 TRIP GENERATION

In order to identify how much traffic will be generated by the proposed middle school, trip generation calculations were conducted. Trip generation data for daily and peak hour trips were identified from Trip Generation, Tenth Edition, which was published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) in 2017. Table 5 presents the daily and peak hour trip generations for proposed school, and these trip generation calculations are included in Appendix C.

## TABLE 5. TRIP GENERATION

| LAND USE | SIZE | DAILY <br> TRAFFIC | GENERATED TRAFFIC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM PEAK HOUR | PM PEAK HOUR |  |  |
|  |  |  | ENTER | ENTER | EXIT |  |
| Private School <br> (LUC 536) | 175 students | 434 | 85 | 55 | 13 | 17 |

### 5.2 TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT

For the purposes of this study, it was estimated that the trips generated by the proposed middle school will access the project site according to the directional distribution shown in Figure 6. The development of this distribution was based on the following factors:

- existing land use characteristics,
- the directions of approach of the existing traffic,
- the access proposed for the project, and
- the locations of population centers in the area.

The peak hour trip generations and directional distribution were used to add the site-generated trips to the roadway system. Figure 7 includes the peak hour traffic volumes that are expected to be generated by the proposed middle school.
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Figure 6.
Directional Distribution of Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Generated by the Proposed School
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Figure 7.
Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Generated by the Proposed School

### 5.3 CAPACITY ANALYSES

In order to identify the projected peak hour traffic volumes at the completion of the proposed project, the trips generated by the school were added to the background peak hour traffic volumes within the study area. The resulting peak hour volumes are shown in Figure 8.

Using the total projected peak hour traffic volumes, capacity analyses were conducted in order to evaluate the need for roadway and traffic control improvements at the project accesses. For the purposes of these analyses, the following assumptions were made:

1. The proposed project access will be constructed to include one entering lane and one exiting lane at the intersection with Clovercroft Road.
2. All of the existing laneage and traffic control will be maintained and no improvements will be made.

The results of the capacity analyses for the total projected peak hour traffic volumes are shown in Table 6, and Appendix B includes the capacity analyses worksheets. The analyses indicate that most of the critical turning movements at the unsignalized intersections within the study area operate at LOS D or better during both peak hours. However, the eastbound left and right turns at the intersection of Wilson Pike and Clovercroft Road are expected to operate at LOS F during the AM peak hour. Based on these results, additional analyses were conducted in order to identify how well these turning movements would operate if the following dedicated turn lanes were provided at this location:

- Separate eastbound left and right turn lanes.
- A separate northbound left turn lane.
- A separate southbound right turn lane.

The additional analyses indicate that the average vehicle queues and average vehicle delays would be reduced if these turn lanes were provided.
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Figure 8.
Total Year 2022 Projected Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

TABLE 6. TOTAL PROJECTED PEAK HOUR LEVELS OF SERVICE

| INTERSECTION | TURNING MOVEMENT | AM PEAK HOUR |  | PM PEAK HOUR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LEVEL OF SERVICE | $\begin{gathered} \text { 95 }{ }^{\mathrm{TH}} \%-\text { ILE } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LEVEL OF SERVICE | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 95 }{ }^{\mathrm{TH}} \% \text {-ILE } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Clovercroft Road and Market Street | Eastbound <br> Left Turns | LOS A | 1 veh ( $9 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) | LOS A | 0 veh ( $8 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) |
|  | Westbound <br> Left Turns | LOS A | 0 veh ( $8 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) | LOS A | 0 veh (9 sec/veh) |
|  | Northbound Left Turns | LOS E | 3 veh (39 sec/veh) | LOS D | 1 veh <br> ( $27 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) |
|  | Northbound Thrus / Right Turns | LOS C | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{veh} \\ (22 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LOS C | 1 veh (18 sec/veh) |
|  | Southbound Turning Movements | LOS E | 4 veh (48 sec/veh) | LOS C | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { veh } \\ (25 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Wilson Pike and Clovercroft Road (existing laneage) | Eastbound <br> Left / Right Turns | LOS F | $\begin{gathered} 13 \mathrm{veh} \\ (144 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{v}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LOS F | 15 veh ( $62 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) |
|  | Northbound <br> Left Turns / Thrus | LOS A | 1 veh ( $9 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) | LOS A | 1 veh ( $8 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) |
| Wilson Pike and Clovercroft Road (with dedicated turn lanes) | Eastbound <br> Left Turns | LOS F | $\begin{gathered} 8 \text { veh } \\ (69 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LOS C | 4 veh (22 sec/veh) |
|  | Eastbound Right Turns | LOS A | 1 veh (9 sec/veh) | LOS B | 1 veh (10 sec/veh) |
|  | Northbound Left Turns | LOS A | 1 veh (9 sec/veh) | LOS A | 1 veh (8 sec/veh) |
| Clovercroft Road and Amelia Park Drive | Westbound Left Turns | LOS A | 0 veh ( $8 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) | LOS A | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { veh } \\ (9 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Northbound Left Turns | LOS C | 1 veh ( $20 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) | LOS C | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { veh } \\ (17 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Northbound Right Turns | LOS B | 1 veh (10 sec/veh) | LOS B | 1 veh (12 sec/veh) |
| Clovercroft Road and School Access | Eastbound <br> Left Turns / Thrus | LOS A | 1 veh ( $9 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) | LOS A | $\begin{gathered} 0 \text { veh } \\ (8 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Southbound Left / Right Turns | LOS C | 1 veh <br> (17 sec/veh) | LOS B | 1 veh (12 sec/veh) |

## 6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The analyses presented in this study indicate that the following roadway and traffic control improvements and modifications should be provided in order to ensure safe and efficient traffic operations within the study area:

## THE NEW SCHOOL ACCESS

As planned, the project access should be reconstructed so that it intersects Clovercroft Road east of the current church driveway at the outside of the existing curve on Clovercroft Road. The specific location of the project access should be chosen so as to maximize the sight distance available at this location.

Also, the analyses conducted for the purposes of this study indicate that the intersection of Clovercroft Road and the project access will operate acceptably even if dedicated turn lanes are not provided at this location. However, in order to facilitate safe and efficient turning movements into and out of the project site, the following laneage should be provided:

1. The project access should be constructed to include one northbound entering lane and two southbound exiting lanes, striped as a separate left and right turn lanes. Each of the exiting turn lanes should have at least 100 feet of storage and should be designed and constructed according to AASHTO standards.
2. An eastbound left turn lane and a westbound right turn lane should be provided on Clovercroft Road at the project access. Each of these turn lanes should include at least 150 feet of storage and should be designed and constructed according to AASHTO standards. Also, these turn lanes should be coordinated with the construction of the westbound left turn lane that is planned to be constructed on Clovercroft Road at Amelia Park Drive so as to eliminate any weaving sections.

## SIGHT DISTANCE

The project site has not been graded for construction and the new project access and the recommended improvements to Clovercroft Road have not been constructed, so accurate sight distance measurements cannot be collected to adequately represent the future conditions. Therefore, sight triangles should be provided for the school access in conjunction with construction documents for the proposed project. These sight triangles should be developed based on guidelines that are included in A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, which is published by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) and commonly known as The Green Book. Specifically, The Green Book indicates that for a speed of 40 mph , the minimum stopping sight distance is 305 feet. This is the distance that a motorist on Clovercroft Road will need to come to a stop if a vehicle turning from the project creates a conflict. Also, based on The Green Book, the minimum intersection sight distance is 445 feet. This is the distance that a motorist on the school driveway will need to safely complete a turn onto Clovercroft Road. It is possible that the crest of the existing vertical curve on Clovercroft Road will need to be lowered to provide appropriate sight distance at the intersection of Clovercroft Road and the project access.

## SCHOOL ZONE SIGNAGE

A school speed zone should be established within the study area. Specifically, on eastbound and westbound Clovercroft Road, a School Speed Limit Assembly should be installed approximately 500 feet in advance of the property boundary for the school. This assembly, which should be based on a school zone speed limit of 20 mph , should conform with the signage identified as S43P, R2-1, S4-1P and S4-6P within the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD). Also, to mark the end of the school speed zone, a speed limit sign for 40 mph should be installed with an "END SCHOOL ZONE" plaque (S5-2).

In conclusion, these recommendations should be provided in conjunction with the proposed school in order to provide safe and efficient traffic operations in the vicinity of the school.

## INTERSECTION TRAFFIC VOLUME COUNTS




| AM PK PHF | 0.72 | 0.75 | 0.81 | 0.62 | 0.63 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.83 | 0.73 | 0.50 | 0.71 | 0.65 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PM PK PHF | 0.94 | 0.63 | 0.53 | 1.00 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 0.33 | 0.87 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.86 | 0.80 |

## INTERSECTION TRAFFIC VOLUME COUNTS



| LOCATION: | Wilson Pike and Clovercroft Road |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATE: | 12-Jul-18 Thu |
| RECORDER: | Burns |
| NOTES: | unsignalized |




INTERSECTION TRAFFIC VOLUME COUNTS


| LOCATION: | Wilson Pike and N. Chapel Road |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATE: | 25-Apr-18 Wed |
| RECORDER: | Burns |
| NOTES: | unsignalized |
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Figure 7.
XX - AM Peak Hour Volumes
(XX) - PM Peak Hour Volumes

Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Generated by the Proposed Project


## APPENDIX B CAPACITY ANALYSES

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Clovercroft and Market |
| Agency/Co. | FTG | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | Aug 2018 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | 2018 | North/South Street | Market Street |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 10951 (Existing) |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 18 | 114 | 16 |  | 4 | 396 | 48 |  | 53 | 25 | 4 |  | 23 | 3 | 13 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage |  |  |  | Und | ded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 7.10 | 6.50 | 6.20 |  | 7.10 | 6.50 | 6.20 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.30 |  | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.30 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Clovercroft and Market |
| Agency/Co. | FTG | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | Aug 2018 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | 2018 | North/South Street | Market Street |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 10951 (Existing) |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 13 | 314 | 49 |  | 4 | 154 | 35 |  | 22 | 12 | 4 |  | 32 | 16 | 21 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage |  |  |  | Und | ded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 7.10 | 6.50 | 6.20 |  | 7.10 | 6.50 | 6.20 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.30 |  | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.30 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Wilson and Clovercroft |
| Agency/Co. | FTG | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | Aug 2018 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | 2018 | North/South Street | Wilson Pike |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 10951 (Existing) |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments


| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.1 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.40 | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.50 | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Wilson and Clovercroft |
| Agency/Co. | FTG | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | Aug 2018 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | 2018 | North/South Street | Wilson Pike |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.81 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 10951 (Existing) |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 195 |  | 198 |  |  |  |  |  | 89 | 60 |  |  |  | 64 | 108 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 6.40 |  | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.50 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## BACKGROUND CONDITIONS

## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Clovercroft and Market |
| Agency/Co. | FTG | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | Aug 2018 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Market Street |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 10951 (Back) |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 22 | 137 | 32 |  | 7 | 475 | 58 |  | 102 | 55 | 13 |  | 82 | 12 | 16 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage |  |  |  | Und | ded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 7.10 | 6.50 | 6.20 |  | 7.10 | 6.50 | 6.20 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.30 |  | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.30 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Clovercroft and Market |
| Agency/Co. | FTG | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | Aug 2018 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Market Street |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 10951 (Back) |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 16 | 443 | 101 |  | 15 | 185 | 42 |  | 51 | 30 | 11 |  | 44 | 47 | 25 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage |  |  |  | Und | ded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 7.10 | 6.50 | 6.20 |  | 7.10 | 6.50 | 6.20 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.30 |  | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.30 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Wilson and Clovercroft |
| Agency/Co. | FTG | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | Aug 2018 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Wilson Pike |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 10951 (Back) |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 209 |  | 35 |  |  |  |  |  | 238 | 206 |  |  |  | 60 | 273 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.1 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.40 | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.50 | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Wilson and Clovercroft |
| Agency/Co. | FTG | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | Aug 2018 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Wilson Pike |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.81 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 10951 (Back) |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 234 |  | 238 |  |  |  |  |  | 110 | 72 |  |  |  | 77 | 134 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.1 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.40 | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.50 | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Wilson and Clovercroft |
| Agency/Co. | FTG | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | Aug 2018 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Wilson Pike |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 10951 (Back) |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | R |  |  |  |  |  | L | T |  |  |  | T | R |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 209 |  | 35 |  |  |  |  |  | 238 | 206 |  |  |  | 60 | 273 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 6.40 |  | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.50 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Wilson and Clovercroft |
| Agency/Co. | FTG | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | Aug 2018 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Wilson Pike |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.81 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 10951 (Back) |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments


| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 6.40 |  | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.50 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Clovercroft and Amelia |
| Agency/Co. | FTG | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | Aug 2018 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Amelia Park |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 10951 (Back) |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | TR |  | L | T |  |  | L |  | R |  |  |  |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  |  | 220 | 12 |  | 9 | 502 |  |  | 38 |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 6.40 |  | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 3.50 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |
| Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | 44 |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity, c (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 1305 |  |  |  | 323 |  | 781 |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |  |  |  |  | 0.01 |  |  |  | 0.14 |  | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.5 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 7.8 |  |  |  | 17.9 |  | 9.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | C |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  | 14.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Clovercroft and Amelia |
| Agency/Co. | FTG | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | Aug 2018 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Amelia Park |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 10951 (Back) |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | TR |  | L | T |  |  | L |  | R |  |  |  |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  |  | 455 | 43 |  | 27 | 217 |  |  | 25 |  | 17 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 6.40 |  | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 3.50 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |
| Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |  |  |  | 27 |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity, c (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 1043 |  |  |  | 345 |  | 566 |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 |  |  |  | 0.08 |  | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, Q95 (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  | 0.3 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 8.5 |  |  |  | 16.3 |  | 11.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | C |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  |  | 0.9 |  |  |  | 14.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## TOTAL PROJECTED CONDITIONS

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Clovercroft and Market |
| Agency/Co. | FTG | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | Aug 2018 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Market Street |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 10951 (Total) |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 22 | 188 | 32 |  | 7 | 508 | 58 |  | 102 | 55 | 13 |  | 82 | 12 | 16 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage |  |  |  | Und | ded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 7.10 | 6.50 | 6.20 |  | 7.10 | 6.50 | 6.20 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.30 |  | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.30 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Clovercroft and Market |
| Agency/Co. | FTG | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | Aug 2018 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Market Street |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 10951 (Total) |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 16 | 451 | 101 |  | 15 | 195 | 42 |  | 51 | 30 | 11 |  | 44 | 47 | 25 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage |  |  |  | Und | ded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 7.10 | 6.50 | 6.20 |  | 7.10 | 6.50 | 6.20 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.30 |  | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.30 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Wilson and Clovercroft |
| Agency/Co. | FTG | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | Aug 2018 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Wilson Pike |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 10951 (Total) |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 220 |  | 46 |  |  |  |  |  | 255 | 206 |  |  |  | 60 | 290 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.1 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.40 | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.50 | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Wilson and Clovercroft |
| Agency/Co. | FTG | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | Aug 2018 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Wilson Pike |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.81 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 10951 (Total) |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 238 |  | 241 |  |  |  |  |  | 112 | 72 |  |  |  | 77 | 137 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.1 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.40 | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.50 | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Wilson and Clovercroft |
| Agency/Co. | FTG | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | Aug 2018 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Wilson Pike |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 10951 (Total) |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | R |  |  |  |  |  | L | T |  |  |  | T | R |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 220 |  | 46 |  |  |  |  |  | 255 | 206 |  |  |  | 60 | 290 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 6.40 |  | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.50 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Wilson and Clovercroft |
| Agency/Co. | FTG | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | Aug 2018 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Wilson Pike |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.81 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 10951 (Total) |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | R |  |  |  |  |  | L | T |  |  |  | T | R |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 238 |  | 241 |  |  |  |  |  | 112 | 72 |  |  |  | 77 | 137 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 6.40 |  | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.50 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | FTG | Site Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Clovercroft and Amelia |
| Agency/Co. | Aug 2018 | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | 2022 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | AM Peak Hour | North/South Street | Amelia Park |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 |
| Intersection Orientation | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |  |
| Project Description | (Total) |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | TR |  | L | T |  |  | L |  | R |  |  |  |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  |  | 271 | 12 |  | 9 | 535 |  |  | 38 |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage |  |  |  | Und | ded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 6.40 |  | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 3.50 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |
| Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | 44 |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity, c (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 1242 |  |  |  | 283 |  | 724 |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |  |  |  |  | 0.01 |  |  |  | 0.16 |  | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.5 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 7.9 |  |  |  | 20.1 |  | 10.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | C |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  | 16.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | FTG | Site Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Clovercroft and Amelia |
| Agency/Co. | Aug 2018 | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | 2022 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | PM Peak Hour | North/South Street | Amelia Park |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 |
| Intersection Orientation | 10951 (Total) | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | TR |  | L | T |  |  | L |  | R |  |  |  |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  |  | 463 | 43 |  | 27 | 227 |  |  | 25 |  | 17 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 6.40 |  | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 3.50 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |
| Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |  |  |  | 27 |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity, c (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 1035 |  |  |  | 336 |  | 559 |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 |  |  |  | 0.08 |  | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, Q95 (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  | 0.3 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 8.6 |  |  |  | 16.6 |  | 11.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | C |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  |  | 0.9 |  |  |  | 14.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | FTG | Site Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Clovercroft and Parish |
| Agency/Co. | Aug 2018 | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | 2022 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | AM Peak Hour | North/South Street | Parish Presbyterian |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 |
| Intersection Orientation | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |  |
| Project Description |  |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 51 | 244 |  |  |  | 511 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  | 33 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.40 |  | 6.20 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.50 |  | 3.30 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | FTG | Intersection | Clovercroft and Parish |
| Agency/Co. | FTG | Jurisdiction | Franklin, TN |
| Date Performed | Aug 2018 | East/West Street | Clovercroft Road |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Parish Presbyterian |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 10951 (Total) |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 8 | 472 |  |  |  | 244 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 10 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.40 |  | 6.20 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.50 |  | 3.30 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS - Private School

The following calculations are based on the data compiled for ITE Land Use Code 536.

## Average Daily Traffic

```
T=2.48(X)
T = 2.48(175)
T = 434 vehicles
Enter = 0.50(434) = 217 vehicles
Exit = 0.50(434) = 217 vehicles
```


## AM traffic during peak hour of adjacent street

$\mathrm{T}=0.80(\mathrm{X})$
$\mathrm{T}=0.80$ (175)
$\mathrm{T}=140$ vehicles
Enter $=0.61$ (140) $=85$ vehicles
Exit $=0.39(140)=55$ vehicles

## PM traffic during peak hour of adjacent street

$\mathrm{T}=0.17$ (X)
$\mathrm{T}=0.17$ (175)
T = 30 vehicles
Enter $=0.43$ (30) $=13$ vehicles
Exit $=0.57(30)=17$ vehicles

